arXiv:1404.1826v2 [math.CV] 12 Oct 2014

# LOCALLY ONE-TO-ONE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS WITH STARLIKE ANALYTIC PART

IKKEI HOTTA AND ANDRZEJ MICHALSKI

Abstract. Let $L_H$ denote the set of all normalized locally one-to-one and sense-preserving harmonic functions in the unit disc $\Delta$. It is well-known that every complex-valued harmonic function in the unit disc $\Delta$ can be uniquely represented as $f = h + \overline{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\Delta$. In particular the decomposition formula holds true for functions of the class $L_H$. For a fixed analytic function $h$, an interesting problem arises - to describe all functions $g$, such that $f$ belongs to $L_H$.

The case when $f \in L_H$ and $h$ is the identity mapping was considered in [3]. More general results are given in [4], where $f \in L_H$ and $h$ is a convex analytic mapping. The focus of our present research is to characterize the set of all functions $f \in L_H$ having starlike analytic part $h$. In this paper, we provide coefficient, distortion and growth estimates of $g$. We also give growth and Jacobian estimates of $f$.

## 1. Introduction

A complex-valued harmonic function $f$ in the open unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ can be uniquely represented as

$$f = h + \overline{g}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\Delta$ with $g(0) = 0$. Hence, $f$ is uniquely determined by coefficients of the following power series expansions

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{1.2}$$

where $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$.

Such a function $f$, not identically constant, is said to be sense-preserving in $\Delta$ if and only if it satisfies the equation

$$g' = \omega h', \tag{1.3}$$

where $\omega$ is analytic in $\Delta$ with $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \Delta$. The function $\omega$ is called the second complex dilatation of $f$, and it is closely related to the Jacobian of $f$ defined as follows

$$J_f(z) := |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{1.4}$$

*Date*: October 14, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 31A05. Secondary 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Harmonic mappings, starlike conformal mappings.

The first author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows No. 252550 and Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 26800053.

Recall that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f$ to be locally one-to-one and sense-preserving in $\Delta$ is $J_f(z) > 0$, $z \in \Delta$. This is an immediate consequence of Lewy's theorem (see [5]). Observe that if $J_f(z) > 0$ then $|h'(z)| > 0$ and hence $g'(z)/h'(z)$ is well defined for every $z \in \Delta$. Thus the dilatation $\omega$ of a locally univalent and sense-preserving function $f$ in $\Delta$ can be expressed as

$$\omega(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{1.5}$$

Let $L_H$ denote the set of all locally one-to-one and sense-preserving harmonic functions $f$ in $\Delta$ satisfying (1.1), such that $h(0) = 0$ and $h'(0) = 1$ ($g(0) = 0$ by the uniqueness of (1.1)). Note that the known family $S_H$ introduced in [1] by Clunie and Sheil-Small is a subset of $L_H$, in fact, $S_H$ consists of all one-to-one functions in $L_H$.

The main idea of our research is to characterize subclasses of $L_H$ defined by some additional geometric conditions on $h$. The case when $h$ is the identity mapping was studied in [3]. The paper [4] was devoted to the case when $h$ is a convex analytic mapping. In this paper we consider functions $f \in L_H$ having starlike analytic part $h$.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. We define the class $\check{L}_H^\alpha$ of all $f \in L_H$, such that $|b_1| = \alpha$ and $h$ is a starlike analytic function, where $b_1$ is taken from the power series expansion (1.2) of $f$. Additionally, we define the class

$$\check{L}_H := \bigcup_{\alpha \in [0,1)} \check{L}_H^\alpha.$$

*Remark* 1.2. Note that the estimate $|b_1| < 1$ holds for all $f \in L_H$ (see [6], p. 79), in particular, this explains why we have taken $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ in Definition 1.1.

**Example 1.3.** For a fixed $\zeta \in \Delta$ consider $f_\zeta := k + \overline{g_\zeta}$ in $\Delta$, where

$$k(z) := \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \quad z \in \Delta$$

and

$$g_\zeta(z) := \left(\frac{1-\zeta}{1+\zeta}\right)^2 \log\frac{1+\zeta z}{1-z} + \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} - \left(\frac{1-\zeta}{1+\zeta}\right)\frac{2z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Straightforward calculation leads to the formula for the dilatation $\omega_\zeta$ of $f_\zeta$, i.e.

$$\omega_\zeta(z) := \frac{z+\zeta}{1+\zeta z}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

which ensures that $f_\zeta$ is locally one-to-one and sense-preserving in $\Delta$. Clearly, $k(0) = 0$, $k'(0) = 1$ and $g_\zeta(0) = 0$, hence $f_\zeta \in L_H$. Finally, $k$ is well-known starlike function (Koebe function) and one can easily check that $g'_\zeta(0) = \zeta$, therefore $f_\zeta \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$ with $\alpha := |\zeta|$.

## 2. Results

At the beginning of this section we present a connection, discovered by us, between $\check{L}_H^\alpha$ and the class of normalized harmonic mappings with convex analytic part (introduced in [4]). It does not seem to be surprising in view of the classical result concerning convex and starlike analytic functions due to J. W. Alexander.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$, then $F := H + \overline{G}$ belongs to $S_H$, $H$ is a convex analytic function and $|G'(0)| = \alpha$, where $H$ and $G$ satisfy the conditions $h(z) = zH'(z)$ and $g(z) = zG'(z)$, $z \in \Delta$ with the normalization $H(0) = 0$ and $G(0) = 0$.*

*Proof.* Let $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$. By definition of $\check{L}_H^\alpha$, $h$ is a normalized starlike function. Hence, by Alexander's theorem, the function $H$ is a normalized convex function, namely, $H(0) = 0$ and $H'(0) = \lim_{z\to 0} h(z)/z = h'(0) = 1$. Moreover, $G'(0) = \lim_{z\to 0} g(z)/z = g'(0)$ and $|G'(0)| = \alpha$. In particular, $|G'(0)| < |H'(0)|$. Now, observe that for all $z \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ the interval $[0, h(z)]$ is a subset of $h(\Delta)$ since $h$ is a starlike function. Hence

(2.1)
$$|g(z)| = \left|g \circ h^{-1}(h(z))\right| \leq \int_0^{h(z)} \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}(g \circ h^{-1})}{\mathrm{d}\,\zeta}(\zeta)\right| |\,\mathrm{d}\,\zeta| < \int_0^{h(z)} |\,\mathrm{d}\,\zeta| = |h(z)|.$$

Again, by definition of $\check{L}_H^\alpha$, $f$ is a sense-preserving harmonic function which together with (2.1) gives

$$|G'(z)| = \lim_{\zeta\to z}\left|\frac{g(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right| < \lim_{\zeta\to z}\left|\frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right| = |H'(z)|, \quad z \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}.$$

It shows that $F$ is a locally univalent and sense-preserving harmonic function in $\Delta$. Finally, appealing to [4, Corollary 2.3], we conclude that $F \in S_H$. □

For $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$, the classical theory of univalent functions says (see e.g. [7], [8])

$$|a_n| \leq n, \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \cdots . \tag{2.2}$$

The following theorem gives an estimate of the coefficient $b_n$.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$, then we have*

$$|b_2| \leq 2\alpha + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} \tag{2.3}$$

*and*

$$|b_n| \leq \alpha + \sqrt{(n-\alpha^2)(n-1)}, \quad n = 3, 4, 5, \cdots . \tag{2.4}$$

*Proof.* If $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$, then by Theorem 2.1 the function $F := H + \overline{G}$ belongs to $S_H$, $H$ is a convex analytic function and $|G'(0)| = \alpha$, where $H$ and $G$ satisfy the conditions $h(z) = zH'(z)$ and $g(z) = zG'(z)$ for all $z \in \Delta$ with the normalization $H(0) = 0$ and $G(0) = 0$. Clearly $G$ can be expanded in a power series, say

$$G(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where $B_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. Using this expansion together with the expansion (1.2) of $g$ and the formula $g(z) = zG'(z)$, we obtain $b_n = nB_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. Next, by applying [4, Theorem 3.1] we have

$$|b_n| \leq n\frac{\alpha + \sqrt{(n-\alpha^2)(n-1)}}{n}, \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \cdots ,$$

which gives (2.4). To improve the estimate in the case $n = 2$, consider the function

$$F(z) := \frac{\omega(z) - \omega(0)}{1 - \overline{\omega(0)}\omega(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where $\omega$ is the dilatation of $f$. Since $\omega$ is analytic in $\Delta$ it has a power series expansion, say

$$\omega(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ and $|c_0| = |\omega(0)| = |g'(0)| = |b_1| = \alpha$. Recall that $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \Delta$. Hence we can apply the Schwarz lemma to $F$ and obtain $|F'(0)| \leq 1$, which yields

$$|c_1| = |\omega'(0)| \leq 1 - |c_0|^2. \tag{2.5}$$

On the other hand, the formula (1.5) gives

$$2b_2 = 2a_2c_0 + c_1,$$

which together with (2.2) and (2.5) leads to the estimate

$$2|b_2| \leq 4|c_0| + 1 - |c_0|^2.$$

Since this is equivalent to (2.3), the proof is completed. □

We have the following immediate corollary from Theorem 2.2.

**Corollary 2.3.** *If $f \in \check{L}_H$ then $|b_n| < n$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \cdots$.*

Recall that by definition the analytic part $h$ of $f \in \check{L}_H$ is starlike. Hence, it is known that

$$\frac{1 - |z|}{(1 + |z|)^3} \leq |h'(z)| \leq \frac{1 + |z|}{(1 - |z|)^3}, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{2.6}$$

Our next result is the distortion estimate of the anti-analytic part $g$ of $f \in \check{L}_H^{\alpha}$.

**Theorem 2.4.** *If $f \in \check{L}_H^{\alpha}$, then*

$$|g'(z)| \geq \begin{cases} \dfrac{(\alpha - |z|)(1 - |z|)}{(1 - \alpha|z|)(1 + |z|)^3}, & |z| < \alpha, \\ 0, & |z| \geq \alpha, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

*where $z \in \Delta$ and*

$$|g'(z)| \leq \frac{(\alpha + |z|)(1 + |z|)}{(1 + \alpha|z|)(1 - |z|)^3}, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{2.8}$$

*Proof.* Let $\omega$ of the form (1.5) be the dilatation of $f \in \check{L}_H^{\alpha}$ and let $g'(0) = \alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\,\varphi}$. Then the function

$$\Omega(z) = \frac{e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\varphi}\omega(z) - \alpha}{1 - \alpha e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\varphi}\omega(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta$$

satisfies the assumptions of the Schwarz lemma, which gives

$$\left|e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\varphi}\omega(z) - \alpha\right| \leq |z| \left|1 - \alpha e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\varphi}\omega(z)\right|, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Equivalently we can write

$$\left|e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\varphi}\omega(z) - \frac{\alpha(1 - |z|^2)}{1 - \alpha^2|z|^2}\right| \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha^2)|z|}{1 - \alpha^2|z|^2}, \quad z \in \Delta$$

and the equality holds only for the functions satisfying

$$\omega(z) = e^{i\varphi}\frac{e^{i\psi}z + \alpha}{1 + \alpha e^{i\psi}z}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, by the triangle inequality we have

$$\frac{\alpha - |z|}{1 - \alpha|z|} \leq |\omega(z)| \leq \frac{\alpha + |z|}{1 + \alpha|z|}, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{2.9}$$

Finally, applying the estimate (2.9) together with (2.6) to the identity (1.3) we obtain (2.7) and (2.8), so the proof is completed. □

**Corollary 2.5.** *If $f \in \check{L}_H$, then*

$$|g'(z)| \leq \frac{1 + |z|}{(1 - |z|)^3}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Using the distortion estimates we can easily deduce the following Jacobian estimates of $f$.

**Theorem 2.6.** *If $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$, then*

$$\frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-|z|)^3}{(1+\alpha|z|)^2(1+|z|)^5} \leq J_f(z) \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{(1-\alpha^2)(1+|z|)^3}{(1-\alpha|z|)^2(1-|z|)^5}, & |z| < \alpha, \\ \dfrac{(1+|z|)^2}{(1-|z|)^6}, & |z| \geq \alpha, \end{cases}$$

*where $z \in \Delta$.*

*Proof.* Observe that if $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$ then $h'$ does not vanish in $\Delta$ and we can write the Jacobian of $f$ given by (1.4) in the form

$$\mathrm{J}_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2 \left(1 - |\omega(z)|^2\right), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where $\omega$ is the dilatation of $f$. By applying (2.6) and (2.9) to the above formula we obtain

$$\frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-|z|^2)}{(1+\alpha|z|)^2}\frac{(1-|z|)^2}{(1+|z|)^6} \leq J_f(z) \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-|z|^2)}{(1-\alpha|z|)^2}\dfrac{(1+|z|)^2}{(1-|z|)^6}, & |z| < \alpha, \\ \dfrac{(1+|z|)^2}{(1-|z|)^6}, & |z| \geq \alpha \end{cases}$$

and the proof is completed. Note that these estimates can also be deduced from a more general result given in [2]. □

The growth estimate of the analytic part $h$ of $f \in \check{L}_H$ is known to be of the form

$$\frac{|z|}{(1+|z|)^2} \leq |h(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^2}, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{2.10}$$

In the following theorem we give the growth estimate of the anti-analytic part $g$.

**Theorem 2.7.** *If $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$, then*

$$|g(z)| \geq \begin{cases} \dfrac{|z|(\alpha - |z|)}{(1-\alpha|z|)(1+|z|)^2}, & |z| < \alpha, \\ 0, & |z| \geq \alpha, \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

*where $z \in \Delta$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z)| &\leq \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^2 \log\frac{1+\alpha|z|}{1-|z|} + \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^2} - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)\frac{2|z|}{1-|z|} \\ &\leq \frac{|z|(\alpha+|z|)}{(1+\alpha|z|)(1-|z|)^2}, \quad z \in \Delta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

*Proof.* If $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$, then by Theorem 2.1 the function $F := H + \overline{G}$ belongs to $S_H$, $H$ is a convex analytic function and $|G'(0)| = \alpha$, where $H$ and $G$ satisfy the conditions $h(z) = zH'(z)$ and $g(z) = zG'(z)$ for all $z \in \Delta$ with the normalization $H(0) = 0$ and $G(0) = 0$. Hence, by applying [4, Theorem 3.5] together with $g(z) = zG'(z)$ we have

$$|z|\frac{\alpha - |z|}{(1-\alpha|z|)(1+|z|)^2} \leq |g(z)| \leq |z|\frac{\alpha + |z|}{(1+\alpha|z|)(1-|z|)^2}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

To prove the first inequality in (2.12) we estimate the integral of $g'$ along $\gamma := [0, z]$ by applying (2.8), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z)| &= \left|\int_\gamma g'(\zeta)\,\mathrm{d}\zeta\right| \leq \int_\gamma |g'(\zeta)||\,\mathrm{d}\zeta\,| \leq \int_0^{|z|} \frac{(\alpha+\rho)(1+\rho)}{(1+\alpha\rho)(1-\rho)^3}\,\mathrm{d}\rho \\ &= \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^2 \log\frac{1+\alpha|z|}{1-|z|} + \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^2} - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)\frac{2|z|}{1-|z|}, \quad z \in \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, observe that the function $f_\zeta$ defined in Example 1.3 with suitably chosen $\zeta \in \Delta$ shows that the first inequality in (2.12) is best possible, which completes the proof. □

**Corollary 2.8.** *If $f \in \check{L}_H$, then*

$$|g(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^2}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Now, we can deduce the growth estimate of $f$.

**Theorem 2.9.** *If $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$, then*

$$|f(z)| \geq \frac{(1-\alpha)|z|(1-|z|)}{(1+\alpha|z|)(1+|z|)^2}, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{2.13}$$

*and*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^2 \log\frac{1+\alpha|z|}{1-|z|} + \frac{2|z|}{(1-|z|)^2} - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)\frac{2|z|}{1-|z|} \\ &\leq \frac{(1+\alpha)|z|(1+|z|)}{(1+\alpha|z|)(1-|z|)^2}, \quad z \in \Delta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

*Proof.* If $f \in \check{L}_H^\alpha$, then by Theorem 2.1 the function $F := H + \overline{G}$ belongs to $S_H$, $H$ is a convex analytic function and $|G'(0)| = \alpha$, where $H$ and $G$ satisfy the conditions $h(z) = zH'(z)$ and $g(z) = zG'(z)$ for all $z \in \Delta$ with the normalization $H(0) = 0$ and $G(0) = 0$. Hence, by applying inequality (2.10) and (2.9), which also holds true for the dilatation of $F$ (see [4, the proof of Theorem 3.5]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= |h(z) + \overline{g}(z)| \geq |h(z)| - |g(z)| = |h(z)|\left(1 - \left|\frac{g(z)}{h(z)}\right|\right) \\ &= |h(z)|\left(1 - \left|\frac{G'(z)}{H'(z)}\right|\right) \geq \frac{|z|}{(1+|z|)^2}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha+|z|}{1+\alpha|z|}\right), \quad z \in \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the inequality (2.13). To prove (2.14) we use the triangle inequality $|f(z)| \leq |h(z)| + |g(z)|$, which together with (2.10) and (2.12) leads to (2.14) so the proof is completed. □

**Corollary 2.10.** *If* $f \in \check{L}_H$ *then*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{2|z|}{(1-|z|)^2}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

*Remark* 2.11. The estimates (2.4), (2.11) and (2.13) are probably not precise.

## References


[1] Clunie J. G., Sheil-Small T., *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. **9** (1984), 3–25.

[2] Klimek-Smęt D., Michalski A., *Jacobian estimates for harmonic mappings generated by convex conformal mappings*, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lettres Lodz, Ser. Rech. Deform. vol. **LXIII**, no. 1 (2013), 79–83.

[3] Klimek D., Michalski A., *Univalent anti-analytic perturbation of the identity in the unit disc*, Sci. Bull. Chełm **1** (2006), 67–78.

[4] Klimek D., Michalski A., *Univalent anti-analytic perturbation of convex conformal mapping in the unit disc*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **61** (2007), 39–49.

[5] Lewy H., *On the non-vanishing of the Jacobian in certain one-to-one mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1936), 689–692.

[6] Duren P. L., *Harmonic mappings in the plane*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[7] Duren P. L., *Univalent functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983.

[8] Goodman A. W., *Univalent functions*, Mariner Publishing, Tampa, 1983.



Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

Department of Complex Analysis, The John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin, Ul. Konstantynów 1H, 20-950 Lublin, Poland